



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,718	07/10/2003	Jerzy Bala	53372-400190	2624
27717	7590	04/30/2007	EXAMINER	
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP			DAYE, CHELCIE L	
131 S. DEARBORN ST., SUITE2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803			2161	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/30/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,718	BALA, JERZY	
	Examiner Chelcie Daye	Art Unit 2161	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Chelcie Daye. (3) Harold Stotland.
 (2) Sana Al-Hashemi. (4) Jerzy Bala.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kargupta (US Patent No. 6,708,163) and Kamath (US Patent No. 6,675,164).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



SANA AL-HASHEMI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's began by giving an overview of the present application. Next, Applicant's proceeded to discuss what they believed to be the differences between claim 1 of the present invention and the Kargupta, Kamath and Cho references. With reference to claim 1, the examiners have agreed to take a further look within both Kargupta and Kamath for the limitations of 'beginning attribute selection' and 'selecting a winning agent'. With reference to the limitations following, will depend upon the examiners findings with reference to the selection of the winning agent. With reference to the limitation of 'passing a best attribute', broadly speaking, the examiners believe the limitation of passing has been met. Lastly, examiners were unsure how the last two limitations of the claim language (i.e., 'generating and saving partial rules' and 'outputting complete rules') coincided with the rest of the claim. As a result, applicant's have agreed to correct and further explain/detail the 'generating and saving partial rules' and 'outputting complete rules'.